

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

NEPTALIA PADILLA AND VALENTIN
FARIAS,

Plaintiffs,

V.

ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY
INSURANCE COMPANY AND RYAN
HOHLIER,

Defendants.

§ § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §

CIVIL ACTION NO. _____

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S
NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company in Cause No. DC-16-09939, pending in the 68th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1.1 On or about August 16, 2016, Plaintiffs filed Plaintiffs' Original Petition in the matter styled *Neptalia Padilla and Valentin Farias v. Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company and Ryan Hohlier*, Cause No. DC-16-09939, pending in the 68th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, in which Plaintiffs made a claim for damages to their home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company.

1.2 Plaintiffs served Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company (“Allstate”) with Plaintiffs’ Original Petition and process on September 19, 2016, by process server on its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Plaintiffs served Defendant Ryan Hohlier (“Hohlier”) with Plaintiffs’ Original Petition and process on September 17, 2016 at his residence located at 12501 S. Barnes Terrace, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73170 by process server.

1.4 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit A is the Index of State Court Documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in state court. Attached as Exhibit B is a copy of the docket sheet and all documents filed in the state court action are attached as Exhibits B-1 through Exhibit B-8 as identified on the Index of State Court Documents.

II.

BASIS FOR REMOVAL

2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiffs’ Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

A. THE PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.

2.3 Plaintiffs are, and were at the time the lawsuit was filed, natural persons and residents of Dallas County in the State of Texas and thus, are citizens of Texas. *See* Plaintiffs’ Original Petition, ¶ 2. On information and belief, Plaintiffs intend to continue residing in Texas and are thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571

(5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purposes, and therefore, complete diversity exists.

2.5 Defendant Hohlier is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of Oklahoma. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 4

B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.

2.6 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at * 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.7 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiffs made a claim under that policy and Defendants wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiffs' claim.

2.8 Plaintiffs have specifically pled that they are seeking monetary relief over \$200,000, but not more than \$1,000,000. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 5. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

III. THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on September 19, 2016. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Defendant Hohlier has been served but has not appeared and therefore, his consent to removal is not required.

3.3 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiffs, the adverse party.

3.6 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Dallas County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

IV.
CONCLUSION

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vanessa A. Rosa

Vanessa A. Rosa State Bar No. 24081769

Roger D. Higgins State Bar No. 09601500

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

vrosa@thompsoncoe.com

rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY
INSURANCE COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on October 19, 2016, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record via electronic notice and/or certified mail, return receipt requested to:

René M. Sigman
THE MOSTYN LAW FIRM
3810 W. Alabama Street
Houston, Texas 77027
rmsigman@mostynlaw.com

/s/ Vanessa A. Rosa
Vanessa A. Rosa